



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_15581
Title of the Manuscript:	Properties of Particleboard Manufactured From Commonly Used Bamboo (Bambosa Vulgaris) Wastes in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The Introduction section is too narrow. The bibliography must be updated. The standard of ANSI is the A208.1:2009 which is the revision of the standard of A.208.1:1999. The comparison must be made according to the current one. All the test methods which were used must be depicted in the document and placed in the bibliography section (ex. ASTM, ISO, etc.). The correlations tests must be explained and not just mentioned in the manuscript.	We have enlarged the introduction with necessary information accordingly. We have replaced the previous standard with an updated one accordingly. We have corrected the test method accordingly.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		