



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2015_AIR_16166
Title of the Manuscript:	Career counseling and life trajectories in France: research and perspectives for single parenthood
Type of the Article	Mini review Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	What's new in this review? What the authors wanted to do with this data? What's the contribution of their work? In the introduction it would be good that the authors define objectives that they propose in this review. Which is t	We stated the goal of the study more precisely in the abstract.  <b>We defined the objectives in the introduction.</b>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	There is a sense-cons in a few sentences  Conclusion general and not practical	?
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	There are some spelling mistakes to correct - There is a sense-cons in a few sentences  The manuscript does not include new results about Single parenthood	We corrected the spelling mistakes.