



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2015_AIR_16795
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization of base oil regeneration from spent engine oil via solvent extraction
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	All the highlighted portions of the manuscript should be corrected by the author.	All highlighted corrections in the PDF document have been accepted, but we wish to retain the statement in line 30 , as a change will give a different meaning to it. Line 19 accepted – “exposes” Line 27 – ammonium sulphate, ammonium bipulpictes Line 40 – “as fuel in cement kilns” Line 248 – “though after solvent to oil ratio of 5:1” Line 299 – “0.61 gallon”
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		