



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2015_AIR_18021
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Multiplicative and Additive Hazards Models to Injury Prevention among Healthcare Workers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The alignment of the whole paper should be justified on both sides, not left aligned. The reference section should be written carefully. Figure caption should be placed below the figure. In contrast, table caption should be placed above the table.</p> <p>I have pointed out over 100 places for the authors to correct in the file: "2015_AIR_18021_with_comments.pdf".</p>	<p>Thank you very much for your valuable comments and suggestions. I incorporated them in the paper.</p> <p>The followings are kept as it is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Captions of Figures & Tables are supposed to be below them, as all published papers in this journal did.• Publication year and page in References was followed by the journal instruction.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		