



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_12059
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION OF THE NUTRITIVE VALUES OF Pelophylax esculentus (EDIBLE FROG) FOUND IN HANYAN GWARI, MINNA NIGER STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 2:**

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The paper may contribute with information since a native source of protein (for Nigeria) is being evaluated. Unfortunately the manuscript has serious deficiencies to be considered for publication in an international journal. Even in the revised document I noticed serious deficiencies.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Methodology is not properly reported.</li> <li>2. In the RESULTS section, only data is provided (tables), no text to supported.</li> <li>3. DISCUSSION section is still poor in regards to comparison with other species and in the analysis of results and its contribution.</li> <li>4. The CONCLUSIONS section is insufficient and poor.</li> <li>5. Most important the English is poor for an international publication.</li> </ol>	<p>All the suggestions made have been duly noted and taken care of. The reviewer noted that most important, the English used in communicating the study was poor. However, we noted with due respect that even the reviewer's comment was a bit defective as it aught to have read; most importantly, the English was poor for..... Thank you for being highly patient with us.</p>