

### **Editorial Comments:**

Many thanks for your submission to AIR and all the efforts to reply to the reviewers' comments. Based on reviewer's comments revisions are needed. Please follow the reviewers' suggestions and the suggestions presented below:

- The English should be revised throughout the manuscript;
- The numeration of the sections should be corrected.
- During M&M section the brands and suppliers of the devices and chemicals as well as the purity of the chemicals used should be presented. At this point it is impossible to follow the methodologies presented by the authors;
- Line 119. The authors should present the references for each method used and each AOAC method during the determination of functional properties;
- Results and discussion section should present text information regarding the data presented in the tables. One alternative is to merge these two sections.
- Please, confirm the units for the wettability and viscosity (Table 3);
- Line 214. This is the real MC? Or is the value after the drying of the samples? This should be mentioned.
- Line 247; this sentence is not clear, "has high" comparing with? Please complete this information.

### **Author's Feedback:**

- The English used for this communication has been revised and even given to the experts of the language.
- The sections have also been appropriately numbered.
- The brands of the instrument used as well as the chemicals have also been given.
- Also the methods used have been provided.
- The data obtained have been appropriately referred to and discussed.
- The units have been confirm and given
- The moisture content given was the average of the values obtained after drying.
- The observation made on the state of line 247 has been noted and corrected.

Thank you very much for giving us the chance of publishing with you.