



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_15691
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPUTER AIDED SYSTEM FOR UNI-FUNCTIONAL JOB SHOP MACHINE SELECTION BASED ON PRODUCTION COST AND TECHNOLOGY ADVANCEMENT.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The designations of the same variables are different everywhere. It would be nice to use the formula editor. What's the point (T.C)? (See book H. K. Abdel-Aal, M. A. Alsahlaw "Petroleum Economics and Engineering", Third Edition. P. 186)</p> <p>How to get the formula 4? Figure 2 very different than the notation in the text. For example in Figure Tc was T_c, Why in the case of the four options, then the model is built for two? And how does this relate to the algorithm? Why is the code in as three screen forms? And a lot more then you can ask.</p>	<p>All the designations has been visited, re-arranged and not confusing as it was.</p> <p>These were corrected.</p> <p>The models covered the four options if you can take pain to go through it again.</p> <p>The code seems three but not three, one and two were joined together.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Article is not structured and developed.	The article has been structured and more information are now added.