



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_18789
Title of the Manuscript:	Arabic News Classification using Field Association words
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - All of the introduction section statements come from other publication, but no citation on it. - In related work : “We experimented with our approach” is this your work? - In definitions of FA no references? - In Your work you assume that FA tree is available – how you get it ? - In algorithm one “Input find tree”, find tree can be input - In the paper , the authors did not talk about pre-processing, which is important part in classification. - The authors did not talk about evaluation method (cross validation for example) - They write figure 3 and no figure 3 in the paper. <p>Plagiarism issue: Yes, many paragraphs is taking from other papers with no citation, see all introduction section</p>	<p>-some paragraphs rewrite .</p> <p>-Not our approach , typing error and corrected , find it in attached paper</p> <p>- FA reference from 8 to 11</p> <p>-FA tree compose from expert in the field</p> <p>-Input find tree , typing error Correct : field tree</p> <p>-we add section 6.1 about pre-processing</p> <p>-evaluation in section 6 , " Precision, Recall and F-measure are used to estimate relevancies of the"</p> <p>-typing error , correct to figure 2.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	So, many works in Arabic classification, no originality in the paper.	