



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_19188
Title of the Manuscript:	Management of Insect Pests of Okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L. Moench) Using Levo Botanical Insecticide
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction section The author should add previous studied of effect of Levo Botanical in field / laboratory studies. The author has mentioned 2 plant with 2 Result section</p> <p>Result section should rewrite because has only show table, presentation of the result should be clear. Title of the 'table 4' was not clear should change What you want to present this study through the table 4 Mean fruit weight 'there was statistical different' but the author present there was no different kindly recheck and apply statistics What is use of this study there was no difference between treatment and control in fruit number, weight and yield. As per your results, no need to spray chemical pesticides in the field. Because table 4 clearly indicate that control yield was greater than the treatment. As per your result chemical pesticides reduced the yield and number of fruit?</p>	<p>Levo is a new botanical insecticide and therefore not much has been done on it. However, one study that has been done on it in the lab has been cited in the Introduction.</p> <p>The title of Table 4 is clear, so I don't agree with this comment.</p> <p>There were no significant differences in the mean fruit weight in both cropping seasons as shown in Tables 4 and 5 so I don't know how the Reviewer thinks there were significant differences. This study has shown that even though there were no significant differences in the yield, Levo significantly reduced insect pests aggregations on okra, which reflected in the damage done to the fruits, and this impacted positively on the number of marketable fruits. Table numbers have been deleted from the</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>Discussion</p> <p>Don't include table number in the discussion section</p> <p>[17], tested should be Hussain [17] tested all other statement [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23] same as [17]</p> <p><i>A. squamosa</i> should <i>Annona squamosa</i> in first of text any binominal name</p> <p>It is possible to control the sucking through botanical pesticides?</p> <p>Ethical issue: Yes it was present well but need some modification</p>	<p>Discussion.</p> <p>These have been corrected.</p> <p>Well, this study showed that Levo reduced aggregation of the insects possibly through anti-feedant and repellent properties. Levo was purchased from an agro-chemical shop; was not obtained from the manufacturer, so there was no ethical issue.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		