



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_19188
Title of the Manuscript:	Management of Insect Pests of Okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L. Moench) Using Levo Botanical Insecticide
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>In the title, Instead of saying: levo insecticides, could say: Oxymatrine based insecticide.</p> <p>What was downloaded from faostat from your reference section?</p> <p>No need to mention the country on abstract just on material and methods.</p>	<p>This suggestion has been implemented.</p> <p>Statistics on okra production in the world.</p> <p>The name of the country has been deleted in the Abstract but has been maintained in the Materials and Methods because the location of the study had to be included in the Materials and Methods.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Line 271 has 2 dots after the word thesis. Check punctuation all over the paper. Also the format, must be consistent.</p> <p>Maybe acknowledgements section should be added to thank the companies providing the pesticide.</p>	<p>One dot deleted. Format rechecked.</p> <p>Levo was bought from an agro-chemical shop and not supplied directly by the manufacturer, that is why the company has not been acknowledged.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		