



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_19816
Title of the Manuscript:	Advances in Modern Physics: Transition from Positivism to Post-positivism in Education and Research
Type of Article:	Opinion Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR’S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors’ response to final evaluator’s comments
The authors must to be clear in their pretentions. The authors necessarily, if they let of side the quantum mechanics they must to clarify this post-positivism with nanotechnology argumentations or to talk of synchronicity of events. That is to say to create a new paradigm in modern physics you must to do it construct new paradigms in quantum physics, for example from the synchronicity of events, which will do the uncertainty equal zero.	Thanks for the valuable comments. In order not to disperse the readers’ attention I shall focus on the nanotechnology argumentations for another paper. However a descriptive sentence on synchronicity wise is added in the direction of reviewer’s suggestion and highlighted in the text as follows: The reason why the uncertainties cannot be observed in real macroscopic world may be explained by the synchronicity of events that was conceptually invoked as “togetherness” principal by Jung as early as the 1920s (Tarnas, 2006). It might be explained that, according to this principal, overlap of various synchronized events with a causal relationship in a combined macroscopic system result in “zero uncertainty”. Consideration of quantum philosophy together with synchronicity principal may result in new paradigms in quantum mechanics.