



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_19843
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Validation of a Complexometric Titration Method for the Determination of Rosuvastatin Calcium in Raw Material.
Type of the Article	Short Communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article is very simple and methodology can be used by pharmaceutical companies for day today routine analysis of active ingredient. 2. In today's world where highly sophisticated instruments are available for determination of active ingredient in formulation. Authors have tried a simple volumetric method, good job but can this method be applied for dosage forms. 3. Good article can be published with minor corrections 	Thank you!
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Corrections are to be made in line 116: Spell mistake: Raw material</p> <p>In line 141 is it intraday or intermediate precision as in the whole article Authors have not mentioned about intraday precision.</p>	OK, I made the corrections in text.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is good for short communication	