



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_15691
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPUTER AIDED SYSTEM FOR UNI-FUNCTIONAL JOB SHOP MACHINE SELECTION BASED ON TECHNOLOGY ADVANCEMENT.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The designations of the same variables are different everywhere.</p> <p>It would be nice to use the formula editor.</p> <p>What's the point (T.C)? (See book H. K. Abdel-Aal, M. A. Alsahlaw "Petroleum Economics and Engineering", Third Edition. P. 186)</p> <p>How to get the formula 4?</p> <p>Figure 2 very different than the notation in the text. For example in Figure Tc was T_c,</p> <p>Why in the case of the four options, then the model is built for two?</p> <p>And how does this relate to the algorithm?</p> <p>Why is the code in as three screen forms?</p> <p>And a lot more then you can ask.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Article is not structured and developed.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Moscow Technological Institute, Russia