



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_15691
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPUTER AIDED SYSTEM FOR UNI-FUNCTIONAL JOB SHOP MACHINE SELECTION BASED ON PRODUCTION COST AND TECHNOLOGY ADVANCEMENT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Content of this study includes neither an interesting nor a novel finding and methodology. It certainly lacks the basic requirements as a "research" article. Machine selection methodology being used in the study is nothing more than the primitive selection algorithm taught in undergraduate courses. Writing a macro for the calculations and putting an interface in VBA doesn't make this study an academic research paper. Authors insist on the value of the study which is correct; it is indeed important for the ones who have this specific problem and going to use the Excel file to solve it. But so are scientific calculators or PCs. This only shows the absence of current literature knowledge about the optimization methods and selection algorithms and their applications by the authors. No matter what revisions have been made on the article as long as it keeps the same methodology this manuscript is not a research paper for me.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Atilim University, Turkey