



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_19233
Title of the Manuscript:	An Overview of Drought Hazards and Prospective Mitigation Approach in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Report needs a wholesale rewriting to obtain correct English grammar and easily understood sentences. 2. Abstract should stick to the problem dwelt on, methods used in the study, findings of the study and recommendations of the study. 3. Long paragraphs which carry several sub-issues should present them via subtitles	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Grammatical errors plus complex language makes reading through very boring!	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antipas T. S. Massaawe
Department, University & Country	Department of Chemical & Mining Engineering, University of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania