



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_19843
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Validation of a Complexometric Titration Method for the Determination of Rosuvastatin Calcium in Raw Material.
Type of the Article	Short Communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Volumetric methods are not stability-indicating methods, thus they are not very much used nowadays. In order to have this paper published, authors should present data of the use of the proposed method in pharmaceutical formulations containing rosuvastatin calcium, including tests of interferences. Besides that, results of the analysis of the same samples using an official method and/or a method described in literature (as, for example, high performance liquid chromatography), should be compared with those obtained using the proposed method. Precision and accuracy of both methods should also be compared between them.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Review the References according to the "Instructions to Authors" of the Journal.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Method validation was correctly performed and presented in the paper.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	University of Sao Paulo, Brazil