



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2015_AIR_16166
Title of the Manuscript:	Career counseling and life trajectories in France: research and perspectives for single parenthood
Type of the Article	Mini review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article is very difficult to perceive, is not very clear what for is written this article. The author should clearly state the purpose of writing of article	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The author should bring an article to conventional thinking, for example, highlight the section "Discussion", the section about the paradigm divided into "Introduction" and "Theory", etc.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	List of links, even taking into account the nature of the review article, is too large, it is necessary to reduce	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sergey A. Surkov
Department, University & Country	International Institute of Management LINK Zukovsky/Moscow, Russia