

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_15164
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Health Hazards of the Goldsmiths in Tantibazar Area of Dhaka, Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	It is rather qualitative investigation and it could serve as a starting point for a more quantitative approach to the problem. For instance, I suggest creation of a specific questionnaire for the goldsmiths involved where a specific numerical scale of possible answers could be used. The collected information could be used for statistical treatment as it is done in other studies using methods of behavioural statistics. I recommend to the authors to mention, at least, this opportunity in the introduction or in the conclusion.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vasil Simeonov
Department, University & Country	University of Sofia, Bulgaria