



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_15164
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Health Hazards of the Goldsmiths in Tantibazar Area of Dhaka, Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Average paper, the contribution of researcher is very minimal. How the researcher able the check the disease that is not clear	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Try to highlight their Ethnographic and socio-economic condition	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Nothing special , just same mentioned above	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ajeet Jaiswal
Department, University & Country	Department of Anthropology, Pondicherry University ,Puducherry, India