



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">AdvancesinResearch</a>
Manuscript Number:	2015_AIR_16827
Title of the Manuscript:	Multi-parametric deformations of Peregrine breathers solutions to the NLS equation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Following corrections :</p> <p>Importance of higher order Peregrine breather solutions and comparison of solutions at different orders are not stated clearly in the paper.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. The third sentence of the Abstract should begin with "It is also proved that for the order ....."</p> <p>2. At the end of the Introduction the author has mentioned that the Peregrine breather solution of order 11 is presented. But it is presented only graphically.</p> <p>3. Figure 1 is to be enlarged.</p> <p>4. Figure caption of Figure 1 should be "Solution to NLS Equation, ....."</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anonymous</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>