



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_15417
Title of the Manuscript:	WASTE-TO-WEALTH APPLICATIONS OF CASSAVA CYANIDE- A REVIEW STUDY OF INDUSTRIAL AND AGRICULTURAL APPLICATIONS
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	References must follow the journal guideline. Reference style References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]. Change tubers to roots in line 58,64 etc Line 209 <i>Manihot esculenta</i> Crantz	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The write up abstract seems off target from the topic. The total paper need to focus on the subject matter. CYANIDE	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Nigeria