



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_15197
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Sinusoidal Excitation on Fluid Flow across a Cu-Mica Microchannel
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Compulsory REVISION comments	A good piece of work.	
Minor REVISION comments	Line no: 60 , explaining the letters in the formula, in Line no: 61 , I feel there is mistake. L has to be explained. Again <i>h</i> is given in line no.95.	
Optional/General comments	Can be published if the suggestion given is correct	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Paul Divakar
Department, University & Country	Dept. of Physics, Sir C R Reddy Autonomous College, Eluru, India