## SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

## PART 1:

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_15164
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Health Hazards of the Goldsmiths in Tantibazar Area of Dhaka, Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:		
FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments	
Revision is still necessary, because the data has not been		
given and discussion is misleading.		
1. Line 59-61: Be specific this article is not related to		
environmental health hazard rather it is related to		
occupation. How you have determined level and extent of the		
exposure?		
2. Line 70-73: Concentrate on working environment not general		
environment. It would be better to delete these sentences		
from here and add those to the concluding part.		
3. Line 78: use past sentences		
4. Line 96-98: How you have determined the minimum number		
of FGD respondents because it needs statistical sampling,		
purposive random has no meaning, it should be either purposive or random (it needs again statistical sampling).		
5. Define the middleman group, what they actually do? Are they		
exposed to similar health hazards as of goldsmiths?		
Otherwise why they have been included?		
6. Line 110: last sentence should be deleted.		
7. Table 2 & 3 does not represent the array od data rather some		
tick marks only, data means how many individuals reported		
that ailment(s), for which you have presented bar and pie		
chart (what is basis of those graph?)		
8. Discussion is still misleading—what you have obtained from		
your study and how far it corroborates with other studies.		

### **Reviewer Details:**

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4<sup>th</sup> August, 2012)