



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_15164
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of Health Hazards of the Goldsmiths in Tantibazar Area of Dhaka, Bangladesh</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 2:**

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p><b>Revision is still necessary, because the data has not been given and discussion is misleading.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Line 59-61: Be specific--- this article is not related to environmental health hazard rather it is related to occupation. How you have determined level and extent of the exposure?</li> <li>Line 70-73: Concentrate on working environment not general environment. It would be better to delete these sentences from here and add those to the concluding part.</li> <li>Line 78--: use past sentences</li> <li>Line 96-98: How you have determined the minimum number of FGD respondents because it needs statistical sampling, purposive random has no meaning, it should be either purposive or random (it needs again statistical sampling).</li> <li>Define the middleman group, what they actually do? Are they exposed to similar health hazards as of goldsmiths? Otherwise why they have been included?</li> <li>Line 110: last sentence should be deleted.</li> <li>Table 2 &amp; 3 does not represent the array of data rather some tick marks only, data means how many individuals reported that ailment(s), for which you have presented bar and pie chart (what is basis of those graph?)</li> <li>Discussion is still misleading—what you have obtained from your study and how far it corroborates with other studies.</li> </ol> <p><b>9. The manuscript needs more brushing-up before publication</b></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anonymous</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>