



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012_AJAEES_2954
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating the Trend and Sources of Economic Efficiency in the Sugar Industry in Nigeria

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The Lit. Review and reads like a cut and paste of various studies. Similarly the findings reveal the values found but does not give any narrative of how you are interpreting them. Both need commentary and discussion and application to the question at hand. We need more description of the sector and the key firms studied. Your regression reveals that liberalization was better than IS but does not justify the recommendations, which are related to the aspects of the sector itself.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Suggested minor English edits as noted in the scanned ms. The editor should do another read for similar problems.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Interesting results, but conclusions are not well-founded. It may make more sense to simply stick to the liberalization conclusion in the absence of other evidence.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Note: Anonymous Reviewers