



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_10481
Title of the Manuscript:	Tanzania Economic Performance from Pre-Independence Period to Current Policy Reforms: Did we Purse the Right Way?
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Material and methods is not defined exactly, in material section academic person give answer of which data used depend of his/her explained question in methods section academic person give answer of how is used these data for his/her questions	Depending on the nature of this study material is the premise that sets out the origin of Tanzania economic performance from pre-colonial period to soon after independence. This discussion forms the basis of the entire discussion. It should be noted by the reviewer that this study engaged a review of different publications and reports that try to explain Tanzania economic performance covering the period under review. Given the above explanation, the method/methodology that was employed by this study, I find it to be okay.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		