



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_10481
Title of the Manuscript:	Tanzania Economic Performance from Pre-Independence Period to Current Policy Reforms: Did we Purse the Right Way?
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1.The author need to correct grammatical errors in his paper for example on the title: Tanzania Economic performance from pre-independence period to current policy reforms: Did we purse the right way? And other errors. also he/she should consider avoid using much of word " hardest hit " as in the abstract and other areas perhaps replace with other more related words.	Attention has been paid to the spelling mistakes as highlighted in the title of the paper. The word "hardest hit" cannot be changed since it carries the weight deserved to would be readers of the paper.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The topic selected by the author is good for reviewing the history of how Tanzania performed from the time of independence until present which is good for policy maker in policy making.	I also support the reviewer's comment.