



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_11238
Title of the Manuscript:	Price and Non-Price Incentives and Food Crops Supply Response in Ghana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Generally the paper is okay, the only problem I have is the conclusion and policy implication. The Author(s) should arrange the issues from the study well and also improve upon the sentences.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 19: The word indicate should be replaced with indicated. Line 110: The author(s) should consider changing the word dated Line 168-171: The author(s) should consider revising the sentence beginning with measurement Line 192: There should be a word after critical Line 228-229: The author(s) should consider revising the sentence beginning with however. Line 382-384: The author(s) should consider revising the sentence beginning with the study Line 388: The word show should be changed to showed. Line 390: The word show should be changed to showed Line 401: The word indicate should be replaced with indicated. Line 446: The word are should be changed to were Line 447: The word indicate should be replaced with indicated.	All the correction has been made
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		