



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_11239
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Growth, Income Redistribution and Poverty Reduction: Experiences from Rural Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Start the paper with the second paragraph and add the first paragraph to the general discussion on Nigeria. 2. Many grammatical errors exist. There is the need for major editing. 3. The paper needs proper organisation. Apart from the introduction you also need a section on theoretical and literature review. 4. There are speculative phrases in the results and discussion section. Since you have data let the data speak. 5. Replace the sub-title "Summary and conclusion" with "Conclusions and policy implications" 6. Do not repeat the objectives and methodology in the conclusion section.	1. This has been done. Paragraph 1 has been removed. 2. Major editing has been carried out on the entire manuscript and the errors corrected. 3. The paper now includes a section on theoretical framework and literature review 4. This has been addressed. 5. This has also been addressed 6. The objectives and methodology in the section have now been removed
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Line 200: Sampling procedure and sampling size should read "Sampling procedures and sample size" 2. Line 312: Results and Discussions should read "Results and Discussion" 3. Be specific about the poverty line (Is it per annum?) 3. The poverty line is per annum. This has also been addressed throughout the text	1. Addressed 2. Addressed 3. It is per annum



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Generally the paper is good and is recommended for publication after the suggested corrections have been effected	
---	---	--