SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJAEES_11283**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Critique of baseline conditions of the Third National Fadama Development Project in Kogi State : Beneficiaries' perspective**
Type of the Article	**Minireview Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The use of both charts and tables is unacceptale author should adopt one. Table discussions must start with the introduction of the table eg "Table 1 shows the percentage distribution of respondents by socio-economic characteristics"	Both are conventionally acceptable but not for the same data or figure.
Minor REVISION comments	All the highlighted in yellow colour must be addressed before the manuscript be considered for publication.	Corrections made.
Optional/General comments		