



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_11283
Title of the Manuscript:	Critique of baseline conditions of the Third National Fadama Development Project in Kogi State of Nigeria : Beneficiaries' perspective
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- The manuscript provides a relatively good scientific assessment of baseline conditions of the Third National Fadama Development Project in Kogi State, Nigeria: Beneficiaries' perspective - The title should include the name Nigeria: e.g. Kogi State, Nigeria - The methodology is not clear: the data analysis technique is not well articulated - Before line 84 subheading is missing: e.g. Results and Discussion - The references list should conform to the journal style.	Included as suggested It has been rewritten for clarity, Corrections affected accordingly.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is publishable and should be accepted after moderate revision as suggested above.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		