



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_11846
Title of the Manuscript:	Long Run Co integration modeling for Rainfall, Yield and Prices of Cereals and Legume in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Scientific names like Glycine Max must be written in <i>italicized</i> 850,000 MT /ha? Should be corrected. Scientific name of ginger should be added in text. format of ref. list should be adjust in JOURNAL format like Chianu, J.N., Ohiokpehai, O., Vanlauwe, B., Adesina, A., De. Groote, H. and N. Sanginga (2009..... and others	<i>Glycine Max (Corrected)</i> 450,000 MT in 2007/2008 (corrected) Ginger used is not for crops but as an encouragement. The word 'encourage' replaced 'ginger' I have corrected the journal citations it is in the main work in line with the journal format.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		