



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_11846
Title of the Manuscript:	Long Run Co integration modeling for Rainfall, Yield and Prices of Cereals and Legume in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The title is good but it should be more elaborating and exact. The main problem stated should be in more relevance with the text. How the problem is scientifically analyzed through the text? What are the main propositions of the Research? The conclusion part is comprehensive and elaborating. The author should use more up to date references supporting the literature.	The title is apt and reflects the scope of the study. The problem statement was elaborated upon (in yellow ink) clarifying the main focus of the study.