



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_12272
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF HOUSEHOLD ENDOWMENT ON FERTILIZER USE IN RICE PRODUCTION IN KWANDE, BENUE STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The introduction should be reworded. Avoid the use of Agriculture as essential instrument. Ensure that the Abstract is rewritten to capture the real content of the study. Level of Education was not measured but the year of formal education. This should be so stated.(Line 145) The referencing used is confusing and should be better done by ensuring comprehensive revision of the list of references.	'As essential instrument' has been replaced with 'important'. Abstract has been modified. Level of education has been changed to number of years of formal education as requested. The references basically followed the journal format. However, where there are any inconsistencies, this has been corrected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Delete the etc. in the body of the work on line 47. Please remember that the study is not on adoption per se.	This has been deleted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The conclusions should be revised to bring out the real findings of the study.	Conclusions have been modified.