



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_12986
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINANTS OF PRODUCTIVITY AMONG WOMEN IN DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY: A CASE STUDY OF THE CASSAVA WOMEN FARMERS OF BENUE AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript should be revised as follow: 1. It would be better to shorten the introduction section which is too long in the present manuscript. 2. The authors should check for all possible errors as indicated in the attached file. Check for reference format of the journal. 3. The sentences in Lines 94-97 and 140-149 should not be in the Material and Method section. They should be in the Discussion section.	1. The introduction has been shortened. 2. It has been done. 3. The authors do not agree with comments on line 94-97 and 140-149. Line 94- 97 form part of description of study area while line 140 – 149 deal with the importance of productivity in an economy. This has been represented in a clearer manner in the manuscript. However, the journal should have the final say.
<u>aMinor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		