



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJAEES_7661
Title of the Manuscript:	ICTs as Enabler to the dissemination of agricultural technologies in Ghana: A case study in the East Akim District of the Eastern Region of Ghana
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

	5. Page 2, para 4, last sentence (Rogers, (1995).... Innovations.): The author should consider reframing the sentence, as it seems to be without much meaning. 6. Page 4: The author should give objectives under separate heading/sub-heading. This is the standard practices for journals. 7. Page 4 (under Materials and methods): The author should consider giving the reasons for using reandom selection method. 8. Page 7, sentence below Table 3 (The agricultural information..... Table 2): The sentence talks about avenues of the respondents, but Table 2 refers to Gender of the respondents. 9. Page 12, last Para, last sentence: The word He refers to whom? The author should clarify it. 10. Page 12: <u>Under Conclusion</u>: The author should write more in view of two specific objectives (as written in page 4). 11. Page 13: <u>Under Recommendation</u>: The author should consider writing few more sentences about recommendations, as it will strengthen the quality of manuscript. The author should highlight the recommendation in view of objective that the study aims to answer to reasons why agricultural information is sought through	The sentence had been modified The correction had been made The reason had been provided. The error had been rectified The whom had been clarified The conclusion had been strengthened. The recommendation had been strengthened.
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	certain sources/media by the farming community (as written in page 4). Note: The author should consider going through the whole manuscript for ensuring that the text is free from grammatical errors. For example, 1st para, 4th sentence: The author should (a) use prioritize instead of prioritized, and (b) put inverted coma mark after e-agriculture (“e-agriculture”).	All grammatical errors had been attended to.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		