



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJAEES_8265
Title of the Manuscript:	Social enterprises in the Irish rural space: an analysis in the area of Ballyhoura
Type of the Article	Theoretical paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	(i) The objective of the study not stated neither did the author specify any form of methodology for the study. (ii) The paper is badly structured in that one could not discern introduction from the review of past studies (iii) Little is known about the geographical features of the study area i.e. Ballyhoura area. (iv) The findings of the study not stated and there are no recommendations (v) The content of the paper does not match with the title – analysis of social enterprises. One cannot see any form of spatial analysis in the entire work	I have followed all suggestions and proposals of the referee. The analysis has been only qualitative so I described only the role of the social enterprises in a small rural area. This is the reason why one can not find out spatial analysis. I resctructured the paragraphs putting a new part about literature review.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		