



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJAEES_8553
Title of the Manuscript:	Economics of Fish Production in Amansie-West District of Ghana: Implication for Food Security in West Africa
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The format that this paper is presented looks like that of a thesis or dissertation. I wish to advise the author(s) to transform it into a befitting Research Journal paper. Other than that; please: (i) Include the duration of the study and all writing to be reported or using past tense(s); (ii) Use Italics and insert spaces between names of fish species; (iv) Inclusion of Acknowledgement. I would assume the citations format using Numbers (1,2,3, etc) as opposed to that of Names and year (FAO, 2005), was in line with that of journal requirements.	Paper format has been revised based on journal template. Duration of study has been included, writings have been revised to use past tense(s), Italics and spaces have been used or fish species, and Acknowledgement has been included. All citations have been revised to use numbers in line with journal requirements.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There is need to merge Introduction and Literature review, where possible some interesting literature review stuff can be taken to the discussion section for its enrichment.	The introduction and literature have been merged with necessary revisions.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I have no objections to having the paper published once the necessary corrections are made.	