



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJAEES_8557
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF SUPPLY FUNCTION FOR MILLET IN KADUNA AND KANO STATES OF NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Discussion of the elements effecting the millet production/consumption is up to date topic, but the used data are not clarified exactly (seems they Came from the years (which?) before 2007 are not enough and relevant in 2014 (for the past). – must be extended. Chapter 4 is too short (discussion is missing!) – must be extended. What the lector is missing: the explanation of the results (chapter 3.1: both the description and the explanation of the results are too short). In chapter 2: the correct description of the data source, what was the sampled period; what were the sales like; the expost forecast when was done etc. – must be concretized. Add literature source of Nerlove model. Line 135 (and other tables): Source: own construction, based on field survey, 2007. That is literature 11? – all such improprieties must be corrected.	Type of Data Used is Secondary Data and The Variables Collected are Specified in the Model as Observed by You, Where it was Collected are also Specified in Methodology. Its relevant in 2014, Forecasting Model as Specified in Model and more importantly before you can Forecast Data you need at least Fifteen (15) Records of past Years Data of all Variables under Consideration, as indicated Twenty years period were used, Result gave data beyond 2014. You can continue to Forecast into Future using Forecasting Model . Source of Nerlove Model included as Observed
Minor REVISION comments	- Name the author in the text where you are writing who and what said (instead of numbering them) – 34th line. - Instead of writing that the supply function shows – a graph will be better to show the function. (see table 3) - International literature background is poor considering the used models, so please find other authors who also used the Nerlove model (not only in home country)	(1) Numbering is the Journal Style, This is Not APA Style where i can put the Name author in Text. Line 34 had Reference 4 which is actually Listed in the List of References (2) In Using Forecasting Model before you can detect Joint Points, k, as specified in Model in Methodology You must have drawn graphs, but all this graphs are well explain in any Books of Econometrics, doing so here would amount to Repetition of What can be found in Books of Econometrics, My findings and work done as reported here is o.k.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The Reviewer's main problem is the too old and not well-described data and literature use. The quality of the paper must be increased by clarifying and extending the database, by real discussion of the got results.	Data Used are well described, for emphasis, Secondary Data were used. And all variables in the model that are significant are well discussed, Non-significant Variables Need Not be Discussed here and Constant Value Need Not Discussed too, where necessary relevant literatures were cited.
---	---	--