



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_8975
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS THAT INFLUENCES CONSUMPTION OF PROCESSED SWEET POTATO PRODUCTS IN TANZANIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is well written save for the few typographic errors: Title should read as: Factors That Influence Consumption Of Processed Sweetpotato Products In Tanzania I suggest that the section 1.3 on objectives be deleted as these should be flagged in the background information. Furthermore, the section on problem statement is not necessary. This section should be merged with the background. The problem should just be highlighted in the background statement. Be consistent in spacing of words	done sure merged ok
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		