SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJAEES_9851**
Title of the Manuscript:	**APPRAISAL OF THE AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION SYSTEM OF FAMILY FARM SCHOOLS IN CAMEROON**
Type of the Article	**Research article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author mentioned the use of Focus Discussion in the report of the study, However, the author did not use this in the report of the study. This should be done to improve the study.	A methodology sub-heading has been included and more references added and highlighted.
Minor REVISION comments	Typographical errors should be corrected as seen in the paper.	This has been done.
Optional/General comments	It is unfortunate that the author did not use any statistical tool to test relationship. I think the socio-economic characteristics of the participants can still be looked into in relation with some other variables. It is also of note that when appraising, one can still consider the factors influencing the interest of the 'students'. However, it is a good article.	Thank you.