



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_9880
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of the Socioeconomic Conditions, Full Package, and Adoption of New Technologies of Wheat Crop at Irbid Governorate, Jordan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The references are poorly reported in this manuscript. For example: K. Palanisami, et.al, 1999, Farmers Participation in Irrigation Management: Sociological Approaches and Tools, TNAU, Coimbatore, India. All the names of authors should be provided instead of et al. The standard format should be provided. The research design is not clear. What was the target population? How did the author identify 50 farmers from the population? The discussions of results should be supported by literature.	Thank you so much for your valuable comments; I have added many references to the paper. Regarding to the other authors in this reference, I couldn't find their names. The target population was the farmers that we already work with them in the project, so we have met them all. I have added many references related to this issue, but I couldn't found any previous research in my country about this package, because it is considered as new package introduced by the project.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	How were the validity and reliability tests of survey data conducted?	Pre-testing of a questionnaire have been done to: •Assess face validity of all questions •Is the wording clear? •Do different people have similar interpretations of questions? •Do closed-ended questions have appropriate possible answers? •Does the questionnaire give a positive impression?



SDI Review Form 1.6

		•Is there any bias in the questions?
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The study makes important contribution to knowledge through characterization of the socioeconomic conditions, full 3 package, and adoption of new technologies of wheat crop at Irbid governorate, Jordan. However, the manuscript can be improved by considering addition analysis. The author should consider analysing the factors that significantly influence the adoption of new technologies in wheat production.	This point is very important to analyse the factors that influencing the adoption of new technologies in wheat production so the next year purpose of this project is to study these factors because we need few years to study the adoption rate and degree.