



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_9880
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of the Socioeconomic Conditions, Full Package, and Adoption of New Technologies of Wheat Crop at Irbid Governorate, Jordan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: what is FP? Please define FP in this line. Introduction: In this section studies which conducted in this field must be reviewed. Methodology: The authors need to review this section and rewrite more clearly the sentence with more details. In line 53 authors wrote: 50 farmers were personally interviewed ... Authors should say exactly what point was interviewed from farmers? In line 53 authors wrote: From the selected sites, 50 farmers were personally interviewed in Irbid governorate. Authors should provide more information about the sampling process, e.g. How were the 50 farms selected? From what database? Results & discussion: The results are discussed in a very generic and limited way. The subtitles of this section are very high and the numbers of paragraphs are lots. Also Most importantly, a comparative analysis is missing. The results need to be compared with other studies and provided more information. Please provide table or figure for each section and show the results of each section in short.	Thank you so much for your valuable comments; I have added the full package before the abbreviation. I have added the literature review. The survey was done in the selected communities with all farmers who are working with this project. The pre test was done to test the questionnaire, and then the survey was done by the multidisciplinary team of the project. I have added many references related to this issue, but I couldn't found any previous research in my country about this issue, because it is considered as new package introduced by the project.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 74: please provide reference in end of line. Line 133: what is JD? Section 3.5.1, line 136-145 should be transferred to the Introduction. Line 197: Authors wrote: The new adopted techniques save time as mentioned by all farmers or the new techniques reduced the labor cost or..., but they did not mention how much it saves. This problem was in whole of results. Please tell exactly how much these parameters are changed. Section 3.9: what is JD? Please report in \$. Section 3.10: please say how these suggestions can be done? Reference: please provide more references.	I have provided the reference in end of line. I have transferred the number to \$ US Line 136-145 has been transferred to the Introduction. This point is very important to analyse how much these parameters are changed regarding to the new technologies in wheat production so the next year purpose of this project is to study these parameters because we need few years to study those parameters, this package is newly applied in my country. I have explained this in the recommendation. I have already added many references.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comment		