



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_9880
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of the Socioeconomic Conditions, Full Package, and Adoption of New Technologies of Wheat Crop at Irbid Governorate, Jordan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper should be rejected because does not follow the style of a research paper and the content is just a list of results interesting for local audience. It is a descriptive paper which results from a survey which is not provided in the text. It is really a kind of interim report. Further comments: Line 26 delete "there are" Line 29: delete "Full Package" from the keywords as it is already in the title Line 35: delete "is" Line 48: mind that there are two consecutive spaces between words (test the rest of the text) Line 51: the survey should be detailed in some extent considering the population that received it ant the questionnaire itself. It also could be included as an annex Line 52: the reference Garret and Woodworth is not in the reference list. Wodworth is incorrectly written. Lines 60-63: How many factors were evaluated? Which factors were evaluated? The statistics are not explained. Line 68: delete "is" Line 69 : replace "dominate" by "dominates" Line 72: what do you mean by "sample" area or people? Please specify it in the methodology section Line 88: replace "/" by "⁻¹" after units (test the rest of the text) The result section is a descriptption of variables it should be more comprenhensive and better linked. The discussion section should be a different section of the	Thank you so much for your valuable comments; Please be informed that I have elaborated on the article to make it as much better as I could. Most of the comments have been taken into consideration. The survey was done in the selected communities with all farmers who are working with this project. The pre test was done to test the questionnaire, and then the survey was done by the multidisciplinary team of the project. The reference for this equation is K. Palanisami. The set of factors were explained in the section lines 299-318 I have added many references related to this issue, but I couldn't found any previous research in my country about this issue, because it is considered as new package introduced by the project.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	result and compare the results with other researchs written in papers from other countries or different surveys in the same country. Reference list is not properly described. Some papers are not included.	This point is very important to analyse how much these parameters are changed regarding to the new technologies in wheat production so the next year purpose of this project is to study these parameters because we need few years to study those parameters, this package is newly applied in my country.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		