



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_10761
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSUMPTION DIAGNOSIS OF CASSAVA IN THREE MUNICIPALITIES IN CUBA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Editor Comments

	editor's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The List of References must be done according to the APA style. The publishing year must be put after the authors names , not at the end. List of References must be checked well . Some names (Line 42, 184 , for example: Suarez e Rios 2005) is missing from the list. Capitalization must be made in List of References for authors middle name . (example : Suarez e Rios) Suarez E. Rios. Line 75: to men and women (20 and 60%) respectively. Numbers must be checked (either 40 and 60 Or 20 and 80 to make it 100%. Percentages on Table 1 must be double checked, especially those of (Decision criteria for obtaining cassava) ... numbers do not make 100%... the first column is 101%, while the other is 99%. The same applies for Table 2: those under	The templates are different, however I put the publishing year after authors name. Mistake corrected. This reference was cited in a wrong way, now it was already corrected. <i>e</i> means and in Portuguese Corrected Corrected The errors resulted from the rounding of values by excel program, errors were adjusted



SDI Review Form 1.6

	the ((weekly frequencies ...)) do not make 100% Table 3 : Also must be double checked. The conclusions must be written in a scientific way... to describe the scientific results.	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	Line 12 : It was conducted a survey through Should be : A survey was conducted through... Line 17: ... Determined by the in natura purchase ... Must be double checked (Maybe by natural purchase ??? Line 148: Although El Salvador is a urban region... Should be : is an urban region... Line 158: The morphological traits flesh color and skin Should be like color and skin In the List of References... Line 244, the name of (Fe C Martinez) was listed in the text as (Fe e Martinez. (Must be checked) and written according to APA as mentioned earlier.	Ok Ok Ok Ok It was a mistake, this “e” means “and” in potruguese. Now it is already corrected, if necessary I can send you the paper Fe and Martinez. Already correcte, however the templates and authors instructions are different Latex tamplate (PDF): [References should be listed alphabetically at the end of the manuscript. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa., Fischler, R-H. (1998). A Mathematical History of the Golden Number. Dover Publications. Late issue published by AJAEES: Floyd CN, Harding AH, Paddle KC, Rasal DP, Subedi KD, Subedi PP. The Adoption and Associated Impact of Technologies in the Western Hills
---------------------------------------	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

		of Nepal. Agricultural Research and Extension Network Paper. 1999;90:1–7. Reference style References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]. Only published or accepted manuscripts should be included in the reference list. Articles submitted for publication, unpublished findings and personal communications should not be included in the reference list but may be mentioned in the text (e.g., T Nelson, Purdue University, USA, Unpublished results or personal communication). Avoid citing a “personal communication” unless it provides essential information not available from a public source, in which case the name of the person and date of communication should be cited in parentheses in the text. For scientific articles, obtain written permission and confirmation of accuracy from the source of a personal communication. Unpublished result which has been accepted for publication in any journal should be cited as “in press”. Journal name abbreviations should be those found in the NCBI databases (Link:http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nlmcatalog/journals). Note: All SDI journals will follow this new reference style, effective from 09 Oct, 2012. All references should follow the following style: Reference to a journal: <u>For Published paper:</u>
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

		1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98. Note: List the first six authors followed by et al. Note: Use of DOI number for the full-text article is encouraged. (if available). Note: Authors are also encouraged to add other database's unique identifier (like PUBMED ID).
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		