



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_11239
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Growth, Income Redistribution and Poverty Reduction: Experiences from Rural Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Editor's comment	Author's comment
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. List of References need to be restructured according to the APA style. Alphabetical order must be followed. 2. The author cannot combine two different styles of writing references (using numbers in text and writing names at the same time). 3. Using numbers is not used anymore in writing scientific research. The author/s must comply with using names only.	The list of references have been restructured accordingly. This has been addressed. The references are now written according to instructions given in General guideline for authors. Use of numbers as stated in the authors guide has been adopted. We used numbers because this is what is contained in the general guideline for authors (we hereby attach it as a reminder). We even used the most recent publication from the journal as template and it is just the same as this work. We would like you please throw more light along that line as use of names is not recommended in the guide.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Line 57: gini Should be: Gini 2. Line 78: Rural Electrification schemes (RES) Should be : Rural Electrification Schemes (RES) Line 105: Empowering Should be empowering Line 106: , and Enhancing... addressed Should be : , and enhancing ... Line 108: seven-points development Should be : seven-point development corrected	This has been addressed This has been corrected in the work Addressed



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 119: The great majority in such areas are.... Should be : The great majority in such areas is.... done Line 172: ...haphazard , Should be : ...haphazard ; corrected Line 179: Section 3 presents major the issues... Should be Section 3 presents major issues... corrected Line 220:219: ... is equalising ... Should be ... is equalizing ... addressed Line 258: Double check the phrase (To reach disposable income. restructured Line 264: ... would recognise... Should be : ... would recognize... addressed Line 291: EAs were selected... Should be : ... EAs was selected corrected Line 293: EAs were chosen... Should be : ... EAs was chosen done Line 398: gini Should be : Gini corrected	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		