



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJAEES_8557
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF SUPPLY FUNCTION FOR MILLET IN KADUNA AND KANO STATES OF NIGERIA.

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The reviewer agrees with the author that we must learn the processes in the past and is necessary to make expost forecasts, but thinks that this paper would / will be stronger if on the date coming from the past – with trend functions - some outcome the Authors give us. Secondly, the discussion of the results and the conclusions are really very short. In scientific papers – where the Authors have used mathematical models – showing the data (in tables, giving only one sentence as explanation) is not enough to fit the requirements of a scientific paper.	Observations Noted, This an Observations Results, Discussion and Conclusion Corrected, All significant Variables are discussed. One of the Reviewers had earlier suggested that i need not discuss constant -value and non-significant variables which i agreed.