



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_11326
Title of the Manuscript:	Government Run vs. University Managed Agricultural Extension: A Review of Nepal, India, and the United States
Type of the Article	Review of literature

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Agricultural extension has been review in Nepal, India, and the United States. A comprehensive review of literature was conducted to identify the similarities and differences in the agricultural extension systems in the stated countries. The materials of study were taken from Relevant documents included creation legislation for each country, global analysis from organizations such as Food and Agricultural Organization, and peer-reviewed journal articles as well as the authors' extension experiences working in the stated countries also provided inputs to develop this paper.</p> <p>Total Quality Management recommended to be used as Method for next studies. There is not method of the study.</p>	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer