



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_10761
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSUMPTION DIAGNOSIS OF CASSAVA IN THREE MUNICIPALITIES IN CUBA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1.The authors stated in line 163 and 164 regarding the high content of hydrocyanic acid. What is the type of cassava mentioned in the manuscript (sweet-type or bitter type)? This should be mentioned in the text. 2. English errors have to be corrected. Some examples are underlined (file attached). 3. The format of references should be checked eg. lines 256-263.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer