SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJAEES_11997**
Title of the Manuscript:	**PERFORMANCE OF MICRO AND SMALL SCALE AGRIBUSINESSES IN NORTHERN GHANA: NON-FINANCIAL ANALYSIS**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Abstract: I think that the author does not have to list all of the objectives here – just summary of it. The objectives would be good in the introduction section, after the research questions part at Line 61.** **After reading the article, I have the difficulty of understanding the whole idea because I believe it has been copy and paste from thesis (e.g.: Refer Line 243, Line 246 – Appendix C is not in the article, line 272 – Appendix B, Line 290 – what is 3.4.3.2in this article). The sentences are not well connected, making it hard to digest what the author is trying to say.** **Line 452: In conclusion……the sentence is not complete, hanging**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	**Restructuring the article would be good to do. I believe the good idea is there but the way it has been presented makes it less attractive.**	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer