



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_10429
Title of the Manuscript:	Accessing the Impact of Monetary Instrument towards Inflation in Malaysia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Literature Review is sketchy. What and how have the other researchers done. Reveal the gap that the study seeks to fill in the existing literature. Conceptual Framework is also necessary as it leads/guides us to the Methodology of the study. Line 78 Where is the model coming from? The key to all variables in the model must be provided. Why/how did you arrive on the proposed choice. Has the research really added value to the existing literature.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 68 Amend citation ...inflation (Chimobi and Igwe, 2010). Line 71 Citation Matthieu <i>et al.</i> (2012) note italics and full stop. Line 107 Gamma ... each variables has stationary...	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Recommendation may need Scope for further study to cement your findings. Because the central bank may be aware of the instruments in order of priority. Then what...?	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kosmas Njanike
Department, University & Country	Department of Banking and Finance. Bindura University of Science Education. Zimbabwe